IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

M.A. NO. 1204/2003

Division Bench

APPELLANT CLAIMANT : Rajesh Mishra, aged about 32 yrs.,
S/o Ram Sevak, R/o Chantidih,
Ramayan Chowk, Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS (NON-APPLICANTS) :

1. Mohammad Yasin alias Suruhin
Chamar Khan (Truck Driver)
S/o Abdul Hamid, R/o Pratapgarh,
Thana : Pratapgarh (Uttar Pradesh)

2. Gulam Sirvar (Owner of Truck),
S/o Gulam Rasul, R/o Khushi, Satna,
R.K. City Kotwali, Satna (M.P.)

3. Azharul Khan (Bus Driver),
S/o Yushuf R/o Pratapgarh,
Thana Kavvai, Hanuman,
Pratapgarh (Uttar Pradesh)
- Present Address- Ali Ahamad
Transport, Bilaspur (C.G.)

4. Ali Ahamad Transport, Bilaspur,
Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

5. Insurance Company,
United India Insurance,
Company Branch, New Bazar Naini,
(Allahabad) [Uttar Pradesh]

P.R. No. 2866/03 ... Received by Shri ... Verma ... 16.12.03

MISS. APPEAL U/S 173 OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE ACT.

Claim in appeal value Rs. 2,45,000/-
Court Fees affixed Rs. 15/-

Being aggrieved by the impugned award dated 30.10.2003 passed in claim case No. 9/2000 by Shri F.L. Unjan 4th Additional Motor Accident Claims tribunal, Bilaspur (C.G.) the appellant preferred this memo of appeal on the following fact and grounds amongst others.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(24)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक M.A.....124..... **सन्** **200** 3

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	16.01.2007 None for the appellant. None for respondents No. 1 & 2. Shri Ghanshyam Patel, counsel for respondent No.3. Once the case has been called out during the course of the day, but for want of appearance on behalf of the appellant, it was passed over. Now, the case has been called out again, but none appears for the appellant, hence the appeal is dismissed for want of appearance. **Sd/-** **V.K. Shrivastava** **Judge**	

padma

(पीछे देखिये)